From: Bob Cowlin

To: <u>BramfordtoTwinstead</u>

Subject: RE: Examining Authority"s Further Written Questions (ExQ2) CA2.4.1 Robert Arthur David Cowlin

Date: 08 January 2024 14:57:08

Attachments: <u>image007.png</u>

image014.jpg image006.png image009.jpg image011.png image013.png

img20240108 14543953.pdf

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

Dear Sir/Madam

Following your email of 22 December, I have considered these questions.

Sadly the Applicant's response does not allay my concerns. It is clear that despite pleas by myself and my agent, the Applicant has not met me on site to look at the land and features affected. Therefore their response has purely been made using remote resources such as OS and Google maps which are excellent in showing features on plan but are of limited use otherwise for showing existing gates, badger setts and very uneven and boggy ground. The Applicant states they have sought to 'find a route that has the least impact including vegetation removal' but how can they do that without a site inspection?

I object to them making a new break in my hedge when an existing field gate can be used (see Point 1 on attached plan). I object to their route to remove Pylon PCB 66 because that crosses very uneven and boggy ground (Point 2) and when a more practical route exists through the horse paddocks to the north.

Yours faithfully

Bob Cowlin



